



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,175

07/06/2007

Simon Blumel

5367-249PUS

2133

27799

7590

09/02/2010

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

551 FIFTH AVENUE

SUITE 1210

NEW YORK, NY 10176

EXAMINER

SENE, PAPE A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2812

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/02/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/585,175	BLUMEL, SIMON	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PAPE SENE	2812	

All Participants:

(1) PAPE SENE.

(2) EDWARD WEISZ.

Status of Application: _____

(3) ALEX GHYKA.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2010

Time: 13:10

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 18 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexander G. Ghyka/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2812

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Compact prosecution: Without introducing any new matter and using only the applicant's specification, Examiner proposed an amendment that will overcome the references in the prior art of record and put the application in condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the examiner's proposed amendments on August 16th, 2010.